



SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

CORE COMPETENCES
AND
APPROACH TO SECURITY

INTRODUCTION

PRESENTATION GOAL

- > Present Security Explorations
 - > Our competences in the area of software security
 - experience
 - core skills
 - achievements
 - services
 - > Our approach to security
 - methodology and processes

SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

ABOUT THE COMPANY

- Security and vulnerability research company from Poznań, Poland
- Various services in the area of security and vulnerability research
 - Breaking security of things and analyzing software for security defects
 - New attack and vulnerability exploitation techniques
- Quality, unbiased, vendor-free and independent security and vulnerability research

SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

PEOPLE

- > Adam Gowdiak
 - > Company founder and CEO
 - > M. Sc. in Computer Science from Poznan University of Technology (1994-1999)
 - > Poznan Supercomputing and Networking Center (1996-2005)
 - Government research facility
 - Security engineer and systems analyst
 - > LSD Research Group (1996-2004)
 - Non-profit organization
 - Co-founder, principal researcher
 - > Sun Microsystems Laboratories (2005-2008)
 - Commercial research laboratory
 - Senior Staff Engineer
 - Hired by Whitfield Diffie (Sun CSO, the inventor of public key cryptography)

SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

OUR EXPERIENCE

- 20+ years of contribution to the security research field
 - Security vulnerabilities and exploitation techniques
 - Research papers and conference presentations
- Bug hunting and exploit code development for various operating systems and architectures
 - Windows, Linux, Java, AIX, IRIX, Solaris, Nokia S40 OS
 - X86, MIPS, ARM, PowerPC / POWER, Sparc, SH4
- Reverse engineering
 - Security analysis of binary programs
 - Static and runtime program analysis
 - Custom tools
- Penetration testing

SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

OUR PAST ACHIEVEMENTS

- > Java VM security
 - > Research paper from 2002 (Sun, Netscape, Microsoft)
 - “Java Security Vulnerabilities and their exploitation techniques”
„a 50-page paper that exposed implementation vulnerabilities in Java – far better than anything produced by the l0pht”, Chris Wysopal, l0pht member
 - > 24 bugs in J2SE from 2005/2006
 - Java RMI weakness, Java Reflection bug class
- > Mobile Java (J2ME) security
 - > Two bytecode verifier issues from 2004
 - 250 million handsets affected
- > Other Java based software
 - > Apple Quicktime (10+ bugs)
 - > Local and remote Solaris OS issues

SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

OUR PAST ACHIEVEMENTS (2)

- Windows MSRPC DCOM
 - Critical security vulnerability in all available Microsoft Windows operating systems (2000 / XP / 2003)
 - Described in MS03-026 security bulletin
 - Remote attackers could get unauthenticated access to remote Windows systems with administrative privileges
 - Bug exploited by the Blaster worm
 - 20+ millions of systems infected
 - Focused security researchers on MS Windows RPC area
 - years later bugs were still found in MSRPC

SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

CORE SKILLS

- > Strong analytical skills
 - > Ability to discover issues missed by big software vendors and their security teams in software security assurance / development lifecycle
 - Vulnerabilities in products already deployed to the market
 - Broken patches
 - Hardware issues
 - STi7100 / STi7111 processors
 - > Ability to discover information about a target from little scratches (puzzles as in our company logo)
 - > SLIM Core instruction set reverse engineering from the format of a single SLIM Core instruction (JMP)

SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

CORE SKILLS (2)

- > Systemic and in-depth security analysis
 - > SE-2011-01 SAT TV research
 - CSS bug in web application code
 - 50 bytes of arbitrary HTML code
 - JavaScript code execution
 - Java code loading and execution
 - Java Virtual Machine sandbox escape
 - Native memory access
 - Native code execution
 - Kernel level code execution
 - STi7111 SLIM Core code execution
 - CW extraction

SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

CORE SKILLS (3)

> Creativity

> Custom tools for static / dynamic code analysis

- SH4 emulator with Crypto Core I/O proxy for set-top-box boot loader decryption
- SLIM Core tracer

> Novel exploitation techniques

- Minor Java bugs chaining for complete sandbox compromise
- Type confusion for memory access or privilege elevation
- JVM internals for native code execution
- Java sandbox escape for Oracle DB privilege elevation
- ...

SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

CORE SKILLS (4)

- 20+ years experience in breaking security of closed software
 - Strong reverse engineering skills
- Ability to break security of targets not known prior to the engagement
 - Hacking from scratch

SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

CUTTING EDGE SECURITY RESEARCH

- We were the first to break security of:
 - Java for mobile phones (J2ME) with MIDP 2.0 security features aimed at protecting users and devices from malicious software
 - Nokia Series 40 Platform devices
 - digital satellite TV set-top-boxes running Java MHP middleware from Advanced Digital Broadcast
 - secure cryptographic processors from STMicroelectronics used to secure HDTV content broadcasted by various SAT TV operators around the world (STi710x and STi7111 DVB chipsets)
 - Java based cloud hosting environments coming from Oracle and Google (Oracle Java Cloud Service and Google App Engine for Java),

SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

CUTTING EDGE SECURITY RESEARCH (CONT.)

- We were also the first to:
 - discover and implement an attack against a mobile 3G phone allowing for a remote deployment and execution of a malicious Java application (i.e. a backdoor, malware or virus),
 - demonstrate novel techniques for both a setup and exploitation of type confusion vulnerabilities in Java environments,
 - demonstrate novel techniques for a security compromise of Oracle Database with the use of Java security vulnerabilities.

SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

BUGS STATISTICS

| Vendor | Target | #Issues |
|--------|--------------------------------|---------|
| ADB | Set-top-box SW | 22 |
| APPLE | Apple Quicktime for Java | 2 |
| GOOGLE | Google App Engine | 41 |
| IBM | Java SE | 26 |
| NOKIA | Series 40 mobile phones | 14 |
| ORACLE | Java SE | 44 |
| ORACLE | Oracle Java Cloud Service | 30 |
| ORACLE | Oracle Database JVM | 22 |
| ST | STi7100 / STi7111 DVB chipsets | 4 |
| ORACLE | Java Card | 31 |

SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

WHAT OTHERS HAVE BEEN SAYING

- > The Register
 - „We reported Gowdiak's claims earlier this month, with some incredulity. It seemed unlikely that one researcher could uncover such a litany of security flaws in such a popular platform...- but it seems our cynicism was misplaced”
- > Mark Durrant of Nokia’s corporate communications
 - „This requires deep technical skills. This isn’t something someone in a garage is going to be able to sort out in an afternoon. He’s [Gowdiak’s] clearly a smart guy”
- > Undisclosed Israeli company
 - „Having personally seen and evaluated your publications as part of Security Explorations ... I trust you can deliver the high quality results we are looking for”
- > Undisclosed US Gov / Mil contractor
 - „I remain impressed by what the Polish brain can produce”
- > Google Security Team
 - „The VRP panel was really impressed by your research and thoroughness”

SECURITY EXPLORATIONS

SERVICES

- > Services offering focused on our best skills and experience
 - > Security evaluation of software
 - > Custom security research projects
 - Binary or source code
- > For software / hardware vendors and 3rd party companies
 - > Is software / hardware / technology we develop secure ?
 - > Is software / hardware / technology our company use secure ?
- > For GOV / MIL sector
 - > Offensive capabilities development
 - > Intelligence acquisition
- > For financial, telecommunication and transportation industries
 - > Java Card evaluation
- > Competitive and flexible pricing

SECURITY OF SOFTWARE

MYTHS

- Hackers go after Oracle, Microsoft, Apple and others
- Proprietary systems are secure
 - Secret, difficult to reverse engineer and hack (security through obscurity)
- EULA and shrink wrap licenses are sufficient to stop any hacking attempts
- Competences in HW security space are reflected in SW security space

SECURITY OF SOFTWARE

HOW SECURITY RESEARCHERS CHOOSE THEIR TARGETS ?

- > Challenge
- > Novelty of the research
- > Impact of potential discovery
 - > Major market player
 - > Large number of users

SECURITY OF SOFTWARE

COMMON APPROACH

- No need to invest in security as long as no problems arise
 - No problems with security so far - no need to waste money on security
- Security as an after-thought thing
 - we'll deal with security later
- Shallow security product reviews
 - Design and architecture
 - Functionality testing instead of security testing

SECURITY OF SOFTWARE

COMMON IMPLICATIONS

- > Security bugs are expensive
 - > NIST estimates that the costs of fixing a bug after product release are 30x higher than if it was fixed during coding / testing phases
 - > Even higher costs in the mobile / hardware world
 - The cost of patch deployment into millions of devices
 - Not so clear who should pay these costs
 - > Development resource put into bug fixing
 - > Security bugs need to be fixed

SECURITY OF SOFTWARE

COMMON IMPLICATIONS (2)

- > Bad PR / media headlines
 - > Security is a hot topic these days
 - > Not all medias pay attention to the details
 - Sensation in the first place
- > Potential lost of credibility and clients' trust
 - > Some big organizations (gov, mil, network operators) do pay attention to security

SECURITY OF SOFTWARE

REAL LIFE IMPLICATIONS (ORACLE)

- Apple, Google, Microsoft and Mozilla blocked Java in their web browsers
- US Department of Homeland Security warned users about Java security risks
- Certain financial institutions decided to move away from client side Java (Applets)
- US Federal Trade Commission's investigation against Oracle over deceptive Java security updates

SECURITY OF SOFTWARE

REAL LIFE IMPLICATIONS (STMICROELECTRONICS)

- > ADB / Platform N choosing BCM chipsets for the set-top-boxes of a new, merger company (NC+) following our ST vulnerabilities disclosure
- > 1400 layoffs and shutting down of the whole ST set-top-box business in 2016

SE APPROACH TO SECURITY

METHODOLOGY

- Approach a given target from an attacker's point of view
 - Focus on untrusted user input
 - Attackers can influence system's behavior via malicious, specially crafted input data
- The difference
 - Attacker needs to find one bug
 - Security evaluator needs to find all of them

SE APPROACH TO SECURITY

THE PROCESS

- Learning as much as possible about the target of a security evaluation
 - Technical documentation
 - Source / binary code analysis
 - Playing with the target
- Create threat model and identify the attack surface
- Select potential weak points

SE APPROACH TO SECURITY

THE PROCESS (CONT.)

- Develop and verify attack scenarios
 - Detailed source code review
 - Proof of concept codes
 - Custom tools
- Refinement phase
 - Change of assumptions / requirements
- Final report

SE APPROACH TO SECURITY

THREAT MODEL AND ATTACK SURFACE

- Identification of components directly exposed to attackers
 - Components that receive or process data from untrusted sources
 - i.e. WWW server, SIP server, SMS parser, JPEG parser, ...
- Identification of components indirectly exposed to attackers
 - Components that receive untrusted data from other components
 - Web browser, image parsing library, ...
- Identification of privileged components

SE APPROACH TO SECURITY

THREAT MODEL AND ATTACK SURFACE (2)

- Identification of authentication and authorization mechanisms implemented
 - How access to sensitive resources is implemented
 - Which components implement it
- Enumeration of components interaction
 - Information flow in the system
 - Mutual trust
 - Communication mechanisms used

SE APPROACH TO SECURITY

THREAT MODEL AND ATTACK SURFACE (3)

- > Identification of requirements to break security of a given component
 - > Start with minimal security assumption
 - Components directly exposed to attackers
 - Components without authentication / authorization
 - > Follow information flow in the system
 - Components processing attacker's data
 - > Refine security assumption
 - Assumption of a component compromise
 - > Repeat the process

SE APPROACH TO SECURITY

ATTACK SCENARIOS

- > Attack scenarios are developed with respect to the identified requirements for breaking security of a given component
 - > Feasibility of attacks verified with the use of source code review
 - Can the attack be launched ?
 - What input data needs to be used ?
 - > Proof of concept codes for ideas / attacks verification
 - > Custom tools for speed and automation

SE APPROACH TO SECURITY

SOURCE CODE REVIEW

- > Conducted for components identified by a threat model
- > Hunt for design and implementation bugs
 - > Known classes of vulnerabilities
 - Memory corruption vulnerabilities
 - Injection vulnerabilities
 - Path traversal
 - Race condition
 - ...
 - > Manual, line by line code analysis
 - > Focus on untrusted user input, its processing and propagation into other components
- > Discovery of new attacks

FINAL

Q & A

THANK YOU

contact@security-explorations.com